International Journal of Men's Social and Community Health

Commentar*y*

STRENGTHENING POLICY COMMITMENTS TO EQUITY AND MEN'S HEALTH

James A. Smith¹, Daphne C. Watkins², Derek M. Griffith³, Noel Richardson⁴, and Mick Adams⁵

- ¹Freemasons Centre for Male Health & Well-being Northern Territory, Menzies School of Health Research
- ²Vivian A. & James L. Curtis Center for Health Equity Research and Training, School of Social Work, University of Michigan
- ³Center for Research on Men's Health, Vanderbilt University
- ⁴National Centre for Men's Health, Institute of Technology Carlow
- ⁵Australian Indigenous Health *Info*Net, Edith Cowan University

Author for Correspondence: James Smith: james.smith@menzies.edu.au

Introduction

A recent commentary in the *Lancet* explained that COVID-19 has shined a cruel light on global policy inaction relating to men's health. Similar sentiments were emphasized in a recent article about COVID-19, equity and men's health published in the International Journal of Men's Social and Community Health.² The men's health community already knows that equity-based men's health policy approaches are critical.¹⁻³ While advocacy of this nature has been evident over the past decade,^{4,5} there has been minimal evidence of action at national and global levels. COVID-19 and the Black Lives Matter movement have both reinforced why ambivalence towards equity and men's health is no longer an option.^{1,2} The disproportionate mortality rates noted among men due to COVID-19^{1,2} and legal intervention (use of police force) are just two examples of the health and social inequities boys and men currently face. 1,2,6 We recognize that girls and women also face patriarchy, unequal power relations, and other inequities that need to change. 4,5 However, we also need to move beyond simplistic academic and policy discourses that fail to locate the roots of men's poor health in structural factors, and too often create an unproductive binary between men's and women's health.^{2,3,6} While a more gender-inclusive global health policy agenda is important and can help to advance gender-transformative approaches that can benefit both men and women,^{2,3} we also need to think more broadly. The health and social inequities experienced by boys and men are a consequence of multiple intersecting structural factors. Policy and practice approaches that address underlying social determinants of health - and which recognise the complex nexus between gender, race, socio-economic status, geography, age, sexuality, and disability will best support the most marginalised and vulnerable populations of boys and men.^{2,3,6} Emerging national and international men's health policy approaches must address these intersections more explicitly and intentionally.

REFERENCES

- 1. Baker P, White A, and Morgan R. Men's health: COVID-19 pandemic highlights need for overdue policy action. Lancet. 2020;395:1886–88.
- 2. Smith J, Griffith D, White A, et al. COVID-19, equity and men's health: Using evidence to inform future public health policy, practice and research responses to pandemics. Int J Men's Social Commun Health 2020;3(1):e48–e64. https://doi.org/10.22374/ijmsch.v3i1.42
- 3. Griffith DM, Bruce MA, and Thorpe, Jr., RJ. Conclusion. In: DM Griffith, MA Bruce, and RJ Thorpe, Jr. (Eds.), Men's Health Equity: A Handbook (1st ed.) (pp. 576-578). New York, NY: Routledge; 2019.
- 4. Smith J, Robertson S, and Richardson N. Understanding gender equity in the context of men's health policy development. Health Promot J of Austr. 2010;21:76–77.
- 5. Smith J. A National Men's Health Strategy in Australia: Tips for implementation. Int J Men's Social Commun Health. 2018;1(1):e15–e21. https://doi.org/10.22374/ijmsch.v1i1.15
- 6. Smith J, Watkins D, and Griffith D. Equity, gender, and health: New directions for global men's health promotion. Health Promot J of Austr. 2020;31:161–65.